

In the United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit

No. 09-12479-E

Robert J. More (“RJM”) P/a

v Re Appeal of Case #8:09-496

Michael Schiavo, J. Schiavo et al

Appeal from the U.S.D.C. for the Middle District of Florida

Appellant RJM’s Initial Component of 9/15/09 of Motion for Reconsideration of this Court’s Order Dated 9/23/09, to be Superseded As The Dispensation of Providence Might Indicate Would Be Necessary in Which RJM 1. Provides Various Notices, and Seeks - 2.Either Summary Vacation of the Dismissal of 9/16/09, or the Provision of Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law in Support of the Dismissal, 3. Confirmations of Various Sorts, 4. Leave to E-file in this Appeal, and 5. Access to the CM/ECF System Without Charges Being Assessed, So That RJM Can Ascertain What Exactly it is that This Court Dismissed, or 6. Confirmation That The Docket Maintained At The URL Listed In The Header To This Document Will Constitute The Official Docket For This Case And That RJM Need Not Mail Anything Else To This Court In Regard To The Appeal This Document Concerns, But Rather Can Simply Post Whatever He Would Ever Compose Onto Such URL And Provide Telephonic Or Email Notice Of The Posting Thereto, 7. to Have an Anti-degenerate Attorney Appointed to Prosecute this Appeal

Now comes the Appellant, RJM to move this Court to grant the relief described in the title to this motion and in explanation and support whereof, RJM avers and explains as follows:

1. Were it the case that RJM understood that he was capable at this juncture of procuring a *nihil obstat* from the ISMA Common Law Tribunal (see: [http://www.geocities.com/thirstforjustice\(Roman](http://www.geocities.com/thirstforjustice/Roman) Catholic Litigation Chart) for purposes of the vigilante rectification of the egregious injustice this document concerns without having to file this document, RJM would never have gone to the expense and trouble to compose, print and mail it.
2. Since absent exigent circumstances, RJM understands that no such entity will be procurable unless and until RJM demonstrates that relief necessary to evidently vindicate the interests of His Majesty, *Christus Rex* in the matters concerned is unavailable from any court established under the Constitution of the u.S. of A., RJM has filed this motion.
3. A two sentence order dismissing the appeal this motion concerns (“this appeal”) as being frivolous, citing *Pace v Evans* (709 F. 2d 1428) was recently received by RJM.
4. Were this Court to now vacate such order and reinstate this appeal, RJM could proceed onto the next phase in the appellate process in regard to this appeal, which based upon *Pace*, would evidently be to have judgment issued in the case this appeal concerns reversed and have matter remanded to the trial court.
5. Since in *Pace*, a decision similar to the entirely meritless decision dismissing the case this appeal concerns (“TCC”) issued by a District Court Judge, was overturned, RJM cannot fathom the basis upon which this Court has claimed that this appeal is frivolous.
6. RJM is in fact entirely in the dark regarding the condition of the trial court record in this appeal (at one point, RJM understood the Electronic Docket (“ED”) maintained in its regard to have been closed – howsoever unjustifiably and presumptively feloniously, to RJM’s filings) and if this Court would imitate the Appellate Court in *Pace* at this juncture, RJM needs to have this Court issue an order requiring CM/ECF to permit RJM to access the trial court record so as to ascertain its condition and to procure enough of an understanding of what might constitute the basis for this Court’s assertion that this appeal is frivolous in order to endeavor to superimpose sufficient structure upon the adjudication of this appeal so as to ensure that RJM would not lose the moral claim which he is

presently convinced he cannot not possess to participate in the avenging of the Teresa Schiavo torture and murder via vigilante means should other means of redress and rectification have been adequately demonstrated to be unavailable.

7. RJM also needs this Court to provide findings of fact and conclusions of law, sufficiently particularized for RJM to ascertain whether there might not possibly be some merit in this Court's order of 9/16/09, so that if such was found to be the case, RJM could amend the complaint at issue and otherwise make whatever modifications would have to be made to RJM's approach to the prosecution of the civil lawsuit component of *Operation Avenging of the Schiavo Murder Project*, to ensure that the claim to ultimately accomplish the objectives of such operation presently possessed by RJM et al could not be in any way jeopardized by what might occur in this Court in such regard.
8. RJM also needs to have this Court now issue an order enabling RJM to efile whatever might need to be filed in regard to this appeal in the future.
9. For now, given the press of obligations to which RJM is obliged to attend, this is as much as RJM can present to this Court in regard to this appeal.
10. Depending on the method according to which this Court disposes of this motion, RJM may have a proposed verified statement to present to this Court as what would constitute the next stage in the prosecution of this appeal.
11. FTR, the Clerk of the CCA 11 has demonstrated a conspicuous proficiency in the discharge of the duties of his office and commitment to ensure the covering of the moral liability of the exercise of the authority of such office in matters in regard to which RJM is cognizant.
12. FTR, to any and all Anti-degenerates and even moreso to any Presumptive Possessor Of Sanctifying Grace who might for whatever reason some way or another end up reading this document, Operation Close the CCA 11 has already been commenced and the progress of such Operation can be accessed at: the URL listed herein supra –downlink to the petition originally filed in regard thereto and updates will be posted thereto as they are filed.
13. The judicial audience to which this petition is tertiarly (if that is the right number for what follows secondary) addressed (the primal audience to which it is being addressed, constituting the *Ecclesia Triumphans*, *Expectans*, and *Militans*, the Secondary audience being all Anti-degenerates) is welcome to contribute whatever might be considered worth contributing to the consideration by SCOTUS or non-consideration as the case might be of that petition.
14. FTR, RJM is most definitely not an individual for whom the edict of some collection of degenerates temporarily stationed in any humanly established court is the final word on anything.
15. Providence permitting, a proposed order to accompany this document will be filed within 28 days of 9/16/09, since that is as fast as RJM can proceed in this appeal, if he can proceed that fast.

Wherefore, RJM herein moves this Court to grant the relief described in the title to this motion.

In a condition of unclarity, submitted,

Robert J. More

cc://[http://www.geocities.com/thirstforjustice/\(Schiavo\)](http://www.geocities.com/thirstforjustice/(Schiavo))

9/15/09, b.1, c. RJM-MS09-cv-496 m. n. p. 3

Notice of Motion

On 9/15/09, Appellant Robert J. More did mail a copy of this notice and the motion it accompanies to the Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals at its Atlanta Georgia address.

Robert J. More

P.O. Box 6926

Chicago, IL 60680

312 545-1890

anselm45@gmail.com

No certificate of service needed as no Defendant in the trial court case was ever served process.

cc://http://www.geocities.com/thirstforjustice/(Schiavo)

9/15/09, b.1, c. RJM-MS09-cv-496 m. n. p. 1

Document list of Schiavo 496 case of 9/15/09

1. D/L of 9/15/09 C: 9/15/09 ,b1...n p1
2. ...Motion of 9/15/09 ... (C: 9/15/09, b.1, c. RJM-MS09-cv-496 m. n. p. 2)
3. Notice of Motion of 9/15... (C:9/15/09, b.1, c. RJM-MS09-cv-496 m. n. p. 3)