To: Assistant State Attorney Mike Cusick,

From: Gordon Watts

Subject: The tape you requested Date: Monday, 27 February 2006

Attorney Cusick,

Here is the tape you requested the last time we spoke.

It is cued up and ready to play on side "A" -and I have provided it in both *mini*-cassette and *micro*-cassette format. It is the only phone call to the arrest case on file in my name. (I was only arrested once, and this file is also a part of the record on appeal of the traffic ticket case, which you reviewed.)

One note: You expressed concern for the statute of limitations, but I think that they have not passed, and, even if they have, your office is at fault. See the included documentation, which shows state law & case law on statute of limitations.

You are correct: The two Affiants, both police officers, committed "simple perjury," as you call it; They witnessed for one another, lied when they said that the dispatcher told me to get out of the area. The tape shows the dispatcher, instead, told me to not scare the suspect off, and was glad (she said "thank you") that I located the suspect.

(On another note, the suspect did not know the intake was recording his phone call, and his candid remarks that his truck were stolen indicate he really was victimized -and that the police department was wrong in refusing to make a stolen vehicle report -I witnessed this this with my own eyes: The man and I were in the holding cells, and the cops all ignored him when he complained his vehicle was stolen.)

It was difficult for me to locate this tape and I feel "unclean" due to the small delay in showing you the tape (you spoke to me about a month ago), but I feel no guilt in the several year delay incurred already: At the time of occurrence, I attested that the written report submitted to the court was falsified, and protested vehemently the initial refusal to turn over discovery, but when it was eventually turned over, your office did not prosecute the lying cops -nor their supervisors who allowed this.

Am I being vindictive on a "Witch hunt?" No! ...and here is proof:

One officer, Ronald Rose, made inappropriate comments about me, which I heard on the police scanner. (He called me "Signal 20," in response to me and another neighbor reporting a man knocking on doors late at night (like 11pm or so). His sergeant, Pacheco, I believe, verbally disciplined him, and I let the matter drop. So, if these other officers are disciplined, I am likely to do the same thing, but one always hears reports of cops falsifying reports, and, at some point, it must stop.

Also, when I attempted to report this, in person, as you suggested, a Detective Elisa Martin, who had never met me, threatened to arrest me on the spot. Don't feel guilty: You weren't the only one responsible: A police dispatcher, whose 3-digit number I forget, referred me to Sgt. Debra Moore in Internal Affairs. I can't prove that explicitly, but I did not know she existed until the dispatcher told me, and I only did what I was told. So, it was wrong of Det. Martin to get angry and hate me for simply following orders. (Even in the absence of anyone explicitly telling me to speak to the sergeant, I still had the right to work through the chain-of-command if I felt I had a grievance. This was not afforded me.)

One more thing to show I am not a vindictive cop-hater: At least two cops said that the Police Department could not block me from calling them; That is illegal. I say this to show that I think most cops are honest.

However, see the enclosed document from the phone company showing the Police Department did, in fact, block my number. The reason they gave was my attempt to speak to Internal Affair's sole sergeant, and this seems to be what happened. One day after I called Internal Affairs, as the dispatcher had asked me to do (she said I couldn't speak to the chief, because I had to work the chain of command), I attempted to report a serious road blockage in front of my home, and I found our number blocked from calling the police department. My father, Bobby Watts, was a witness to this, in addition to the phone company document. So, in conclusion, Evil flourishes in the higher ranks of the Lakeland Police:

Evil Flourishes, when good cops (and state attorneys) do nothing. "...one always hears reports of cops falsifying reports, and,

at some point, it must stop."

--Gordon Wayne Watts

Since Assistant State Attorney expressed concern on the statute of limitations, here is the law. If, however, the time expired, then the state attorney's office was at fault, and I can not protect such staff from the discipline of either the State Attorney or the FDLE.

## 92.525 Verification of documents; perjury by false written **declaration**, penalty.--

- (4) As used in this section:
- (b) The term "document" means any writing including, **without limitation**, any form, application, claim, notice, tax return, inventory, affidavit, pleading, or paper.
- \*\* TRANSLATION: No statute of limitation on perjury; However, if so, show me. \*\*
- **688.007 statute of limitations.**--An action for misappropriation must be brought within 3 years <u>after the misappropriation is discovered</u> or <u>by the exercise of reasonable diligence should have been discovered</u>. For the purposes of this section, a continuing misappropriation constitutes a single claim.
- \*\* <u>Comment</u>: Section 688.007 sets <u>legal precedent</u>, in the absence of actual law. This statute is "typical," in its language that the time is tolled (suspended) if "by the exercise of reasonable diligence," the actual time was later than specified under statute. Commit this statute and the language to memory.

#### 95.051 When limitations tolled .--

- (1) The running of the time under any statute of limitations except ss. 95.281, 95.35, and 95.36 is tolled by:
- (a) Absence from the state of the person to be sued. [This doesn't apply, but it sets the tone, and is a "pretty sentence."]
- (b) Use by the person to be sued of a false name that is unknown to the person entitled to sue so that process cannot be served on the person to be sued. [This doesn't apply, but it sets the tone, and is a "pretty sentence."]
- (c) Concealment in the state of the person to be sued so that process cannot be served on him or her. [This was accomplished by the assistant state attorney who refused to prosecute in the original trial; The statute of limitations was tolled, e.g., suspended.]

#### *More* "legal precedent" for extending statute of limitations here:

http://www.sptimes.com/2004/04/28/Tampabay/Schiavo s parents ask.shtml

- "Deborah Bushnell, one of Michael Schiavo's attorneys, said he has not been irresponsible. She said he has asked the court for extensions in filing the annual care plans, which she said are often delayed because "the legal situation has been in such flux that it's been difficult if not impossible to put forward any kind of plan.""
- \*\* TRANSLATION: This is legal precedent that the statute of limitations may be exceeded for good reason -in spite of state law 744.367 below. P.S.: You don't have to give the cite; Everyone knows about this famous case:

## 744.367 Duty to file annual guardianship report.--

- (1) Unless the court requires filing on a calendar-year basis, each guardian of the person **shall** file with the court an **annual** guardianship plan within 90 days after the last day of the anniversary month the letters of guardianship were signed, and the plan **must** cover the coming fiscal year, ending on the last day in such anniversary month. If the court requires calendar-year filing, the guardianship plan **must** be filed within 90 days after the end of the calendar year.
- (2) Unless the court requires or authorizes filing on a fiscal-year basis, each guardian of the property <u>shall</u> file with the court an annual accounting on or before April 1 of each year...

- **27.251 Special organized crime investigators.**--The state attorney of each judicial circuit is authorized to employ any municipal or county police officer... [Never mind; Asst. State Attorney told me he wasn't interested in the investigations of organized crimes, or, at least winced every time I brought up this topic. But this *is* legally permitted.]
- **27.18 Assistant to state attorney.**--The state attorney, by and with the consent of court, may procure the assistance of any member of the bar when the amount of the state business renders it necessary, either in the grand jury room to advise them upon legal points and framing indictments, or in court to prosecute criminals; but, such assistant shall not be authorized to sign any indictments or administer any oaths, or to perform any other duty except the giving of legal advice, <u>drawing up of indictments</u>, and the prosecuting of criminals in open court. His or her compensation shall be paid by the state attorney and not by the state.

#### 27.255 Investigators; authority to arrest, qualifications, rights, immunities, bond, and oath.--

(1) Each investigator employed on a full-time basis by a state attorney and each special investigator appointed by the state attorney pursuant to the provisions of s. 27.251 is hereby declared to be a law enforcement officer of the state and a conservator of the peace, under the direction and control of the state attorney who employs him or her, with full powers of arrest, in accordance with the laws of this state.

### The 2005 Florida Statutes

# 92.525 Verification of documents; perjury by false written declaration, penalty.--

- (1) When it is authorized or required by law, by rule of an administrative agency, or by rule or order of court that a document be verified by a person, the verification may be accomplished in the following manner:
- (a) Under oath or affirmation taken or administered before an officer authorized under s. <u>92.50</u> to administer oaths; or
- (b) By the signing of the written declaration prescribed in subsection (2).
- (2) A written declaration means the following statement: "Under penalties of perjury, I declare that I have read the foregoing [document] and that the facts stated in it are true," followed by the signature of the person making the declaration, except when a verification on information or belief is permitted by law, in which case the words "to the best of my knowledge and belief" may be added. The written declaration shall be printed or typed at the end of or immediately below the document being verified and above the signature of the person making the declaration.
- (3) A person [including a police officer, according to the nice Asst. State Attorney I met] who knowingly makes a false declaration under subsection (2) is guilty of the crime of perjury by false written declaration, a felony of the third degree, punishable as provided in s. 775.082, s. 775.083, or s. 775.084.

**TRANSLATION:** The assistant state attorney is legally employed to be <u>drawing up of indictments in accordance with the laws of this state</u>, including indictments against cops who willingly perjure to bully citizens. [Note: In this case 2 cops did & supervisors upheld and protected these illegal actions.]